

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No.: 8:18-00643 SVW (ADS) Date: May 7, 2020

Title: Nancy Carolyn Wood v. City of Santa Ana, et al.

Present: The Honorable Autumn D. Spaeth, United States Magistrate Judge

Kristee Hopkins
Deputy Clerk

None Reported
Court Reporter / Recorder

Attorney(s) Present for Plaintiff(s):
None Present

Attorney(s) Present for Defendant(s):
None Present

Proceedings: **(IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY CASE
SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED**

On October 30, 2019, pro se Plaintiff Nancy Carolyn Wood (“Plaintiff”) filed a Third Amended Complaint (“TAC”). [Dkt. No. 25]. On November 5, 2019, the Court ordered Plaintiff to serve all defendants by no later than February 3, 2020. [Dkt. No. 26]. Plaintiff was granted an extension of time to serve the Third Amended Complaint on all defendants by United States Marshal Service. [Dkt. No. 35]. Plaintiff was provided with instructions and advised that service must be complete by May 4, 2020. [Dkt. No. 35].

As of this date, Plaintiff has not served the defendants. Plaintiff has not sent the Clerk of the Court any of the required forms, including complete USM-285 forms, summonses, copies of the Third Amended Complaint, or the Notice of Submission. As a result of Plaintiff’s nonaction, none of the defendants have been served in compliance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Plaintiff is hereby **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE** why this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and comply with court orders. **By no later than May 21, 2020, Plaintiff must take one of the following actions: (1) as detailed in the Court’s April 2, 2020 Order, send all of the required service forms, and file a written request based on good cause for additional time to serve defendants pursuant to Federal**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No.: 8:18-00643 SVW (ADS) Date: May 7, 2020

Title: Nancy Carolyn Wood v. City of Santa Ana, et al.

Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m); or (2) file a written response requesting dismissal of this case.

Plaintiff is expressly warned that failure to timely file a response to this Order to Show Cause will result in a recommendation to the District Judge that this action be dismissed for failure to prosecute and obey Court orders pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Initials of Clerk kh